## TRAFFIC ASSESMENT REPORT FOR SPORTS AVIATION AUSTRALIA AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE ACCESS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND THE PRINCES HIGHWAY.

## Introduction

The development proposal is to construct accommodation and training facilities for prospective pilots. The proposal is to be constructed in 9 stages at six monthly intervals.
This report concerns the estimation of increased traffic flows due to the development over the nine stages and to propose a concept plan for the intersection upgrade at the intersection of the proposed development's access and the Princes Highway.

## Existing property access

At present the property is served by a sealed access terminating in a gravel road at a gate which is set back from the highway. The access is angled at approx 45 degrees to the highway. See appendix.
The access type is a hybrid between a Basic Right Turn (BAR) and an Auxiliary lane right turn (AU) in that unlike a BAR, the widened shoulder is sealed, but the widened length, although adequate for a 90 access angle, is inadequate for a 45 degree angle.
The left hand turn out of the access is sufficient for a HRV, having a 15 m radius. The right hand turn to the property enables a HRV to turn in as shown in the HRV template.

## Traffic estimation

Through traffic data has been obtained from the RMS for the week ending $23^{\text {rd }}$ October 2015 at hourly intervals over 24 hour periods. See Appendix
An estimation of the present traffic count has been calculated by obtaining traffic counts at the nearest permanent traffic counter north of Bega for the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 from the RMS traffic volume viewer. These AADT counts show that northbound traffic has increased by 1.15\% from 2015 to 2016 and $6.95 \%$ from 2016 to 2017 and southbound traffic has increased by $1.49 \%$ and $8.36 \%$ for the same intervals. It is assumed that traffic will continue to increase at the same rate for the duration of the construction of the nine development stages.
The developer has provided a spreadsheet with the proposed staff increases over the nine stages which includes an analysis of employee categories. This enables a worst case analysis of intersection use, depending on the assumed arrival and exit times for each employee category.
The most morning intersection use will be between $7-8$ am for flight instructors, squadron staff and ground staff and between 8-9 am for management, flight theorists and english teachers.

The most evening use will be between $4-5$ pm for instructors, theorists, teachers and ground staff, and between $5-6 \mathrm{pm}$ for squadron staff and management.
It is assumed that entry and egress from the proposed development will be split $50 / 50$ north and south as the access is in between two major towns, Bega and Merimbula.

## Right turn intersection type

Combining the assumed highway traffic data and the increasing staff numbers over the nine development stages yields a turning volume/approaching volume for the hours 7-8, 8-9, 4-5, and 5-6. This ratio is used to decide the intersection type ( $\mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{CH}$ ) that is appropriate for the development at each stage from Fig 4.5.12 Warrants for Rural Turn Lanes from RMS Road Guide Section 4. The result is in the row labelled Warrants on the spreadsheet below.

## Left turn intersection type

The governing design criteria for the left turning lane are

- turning radius adequacy for the design vehicle
- access road AADT
- necessity for storage for left turning vehicles from the highway depending on the estimated delay to through traffic caused by decelerating left turn traffic.

The first stage of the development has an estimated AADT of 53 (see appendix) which is just over the 50AADT requirement for an upgraded left turn from the access.
Therafter, the left hand turn from the development requires an upgrade.

## Practical Absorption Capacity

It is desirable that turning traffic minimises the interference with true traffic. This is especially desirable for access to a high speed through road. A desirable outcome is a critical acceptance gap of 14 sec and follow up headway of 3 sec .
Calculating the worst case absorption capacity at stage 9 with 544 vph through traffic by using the formula from Fig A4.1 from RMS Road Guide Section 4, shows that the practical absorption capacity is 143 vehicles. This is more than the maximum hourly vehicle entry and exits from the development ( 71 vehicles) thus the entry and exits of vehicles from the development will have minimal impact on through traffic.

## Intersection choice for each stage.

## Stage 1

It is recommended that no upgrade is necessary for stage 1. This is because

1. The turning traffic/ through traffic ratio implies a BAR treatment and there already is a hybrid BAR/AU treatment present.
2. The existing access has been designed for 15 m radius turns to enable HRV access and egress.
3. The access has more than 10 m sealed surface which minimises mud from the gravel road being dropped on the highway.
4. There is sufficient SISD and MGSD and ASD with the present access if the three marked trees are removed. See appendix EXISTING ACCESS PLAN
It is recommended that give way/stop lines be painted on the access 7.5 m from the highway centreline but this has already been painted as a fog line. Suitable give way/stop signs be placed at suitable locations.

## Stage 2 to stage 4

These stages will require

1. An AU type intersection with a 155 m deceleration/taper lane for left turns for northbound traffic.
2. moving the access road so it is at 90 degrees to the highway.
3. Installing new concrete drainage pipes.
4. Widening pavement on southbound lane to allow 3.5 m passing lane and 1 m wide sealed shoulder.
5. Repainting fog lines and adding broken lines as appropriate.

## Stage 5 to stage 9

These stages will require a CH type intersection. This will involve a deceleration lane and storage length for right turning vehicles on the southbound lane.
Storage requirements as calculated using Appendix 4.1 of RMS Road Guide Section 4 show that because the utilisation ratio is less than 0.1 , only 1 vehicle length is required. This is chosen as 12.5 m .
The deceleration length is calculated as 75 m which includes a 50 m taper.
The access will remain the same as the AU design intersection.

Intersection design by traffic flow warrants fig 4.5.12
Morning 7 am 8 am Qr vph = northbound Ql vph = southbound

| Stage | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qr | 249 | 257 | 270 | 284 | 298 | 313 | 329 | 345 |
| Ql | 107 | 111 | 117 | 123 | 129 | 135 | 142 | 149 |
| Qtr | 18 | 25 | 34 | 39 | 45 | 52 | 58 | 65 |
| Qtl | 18 | 25 | 34 | 39 | 45 | 52 | 58 | 65 |
| Qr+Qtr | 267 | 282 | 304 | 323 | 343 | 365 | 387 | 410 |
| Qr+Qtl | 267 | 282 | 304 | 323 | 343 | 365 | 387 | 410 |
| QI +Qtr | 125 | 136 | 151 | 162 | 174 | 187 | 200 | 214 |
| Ql+Qtl | 125 | 136 | 151 | 162 | 174 | 187 | 200 | 214 |
| Warrant | BA | AU | AU | AU | CH | CH | CH | CH |
| WH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Stage | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qr | 373 | 386 | 406 | 426 | 447 | 470 | 493 | 518 | 544 |
| Ql | 165 | 172 | 180 | 189 | 199 | 209 | 219 | 230 | 242 |
| Qtr | 7 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 |
| Qtl | 7 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 |
| Qr+Qtr | 380 | 394 | 417 | 438 | 461 | 485 | 510 | 536 | 564 |
| Qr+Qtl | 380 | 394 | 417 | 438 | 461 | 485 | 510 | 536 | 564 |
| Ql +Qtr | 172 | 180 | 191 | 201 | 213 | 224 | 236 | 248 | 262 |
| QI+Qtl | 172 | 180 | 191 | 201 | 213 | 224 | 236 | 248 | 262 |
| Warrant | BA | BA | BA | AU | AU | AU | AU | AU | AU |


| Stage | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qr | 187 | 193 | 203 | 213 | 224 | 235 | 247 | 259 | 272 |
| Ql | 302 | 315 | 331 | 347 | 365 | 383 | 402 | 422 | 443 |
| Qtr | 12 | 16 | 22 | 26 | 30 | 34 | 38 | 42 | 46 |
| Qtl | 12 | 16 | 22 | 26 | 30 | 34 | 38 | 42 | 46 |
| Qr+Qtr | 199 | 209 | 225 | 239 | 254 | 269 | 285 | 301 | 318 |
| Qr+Qtl | 199 | 209 | 225 | 239 | 254 | 269 | 285 | 301 | 318 |
| Ql +Qtr | 314 | 331 | 353 | 373 | 395 | 417 | 440 | 464 | 489 |
| Ql+Qtl | 314 | 331 | 353 | 373 | 395 | 417 | 440 | 464 | 489 |
| Warrant | BA | AU | AU | AU | AU | CH | CH | CH | CH |


| Stage | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qr | 140 | 145 | 152 | 160 | 168 | 176 | 185 | 194 | 204 |
| Ql | 256 | 267 | 280 | 294 | 309 | 324 | 341 | 358 | 376 |
| Qtr | 13 | 17 | 23 | 27 | 32 | 36 | 41 | 45 | 50 |
| Qtl | 13 | 17 | 23 | 27 | 32 | 36 | 41 | 45 | 50 |
| Qr+Qtr | 153 | 162 | 175 | 187 | 200 | 212 | 226 | 239 | 254 |
| Qr+Qtl | 153 | 162 | 175 | 187 | 200 | 212 | 226 | 239 | 254 |
| Ql +Qtr | 269 | 284 | 303 | 321 | 341 | 360 | 382 | 403 | 426 |
| Ql+Qtl | 269 | 284 | 303 | 321 | 341 | 360 | 382 | 403 | 426 |
| Warrant | BA | AU | AU | AU | AU | CH | CH | CH | CH |

## Jeff Lean BE (Hons) MIEAust 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ October 2017

## Appendix

Photos of worst case SISD views

Traffic count from RMS

Existing access plan with SISD sections from both directions scale 1:1000 A2
AU upgraded concept plan with SISD sections from both directions scale 1:1000 A2

Existing and AU concept designs showing increased pavement area scale 1:500
CH concept design showing increased pavement area scale 1:500


Looking north from south of access, 1.15 m post just behind trees to be removed


Looking north from access showing clear view in excess of 350 m

## Jeff Lean - Tasman Engineering Consultants

Structural, Civil, Geotechnical \& Environmental Engineers
171 Princes Highway Narooma, jeff@tasmaneng.com.au ph 0244764284 mob 0415037126
Merimbula Office- PO BOX 79 Merimbula, admin@tasmaneng.com.au

## TCS Instruments

## Weekly Vehicle Counts (Virtual Week)

## VirtWeeklyVehicle-6263 -- English (ENA)

Datasets:

| Site: | [08.033N] HW1 S MR275 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Direction: | 1 - North bound, A hit first. Lane: 0 |
| Survey Duration: | 13:00 Thursday, 15 October 2015 => 9:09 Friday, 23 October 2015 |
| Zone: | Australia (VIC ACT NSW) |
| File: | 08.033N23Oct2015.EC0 (Plus) |
| Identifier: | M658NCK3 MC56-6 [MC55] (c)Microcom 02/03/01 |
| Algorithm: | Modified - Factory default (v3.21-15275) |
| Data type: | Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Filter time: | 0:00 Friday, 16 October 2015 => 0:00 Friday, 23 October 2015 |
| Included classes: | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 |
| Speed range: | 0-200 km/h. |
| Direction: | North, South (bound) |
| Separation: | All - (Headway) |
| Name: | Default Profile |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (AustRoads94) |
| Units: | Metric (meter, kilometer, m/s, km/h, kg, tonne) |
| In profile: | Vehicles $=14448 / 16067$ (89.92\%) |

## Weekly Vehicle Counts (Virtual Week)

## VirtWeeklyVehicle-6263

| Site: | !08.033N.0.0N |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description: | HW1 S MR275 |
| Filter time: | 0:00 Friday, 16 October 2015 => 0:00 Friday, 23 October 2015 |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (AustRoads94) |
| Filter: | Cls(123 4567891011 12) Dir(NS) Sp(0,200) Headway $(>0)$ |



*     - No data.


## TCS Instruments

## Weekly Vehicle Counts (Virtual Week)

## VirtWeeklyVehicle-6262 -- English (ENA)

Datasets:

| Site: | [08.033S] HW1 S MR275 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Direction: | 3 - South bound, A hit first. Lane: 0 |
| Survey Duration: | 13:00 Thursday, 15 October 2015 => 9:10 Friday, 23 October 2015 |
| Zone: | Australia (VIC ACT NSW) |
| File: | 08.033S23Oct2015.EC0 (Plus) |
| Identifier: | M630QF2J MC56-6 [MC55] (c)Microcom 02/03/01 |
| Algorithm: | Modified - Factory default (v3.21-15275) |
| Data type: | Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Filter time: | 0:00 Friday, 16 October 2015 => 0:00 Friday, 23 October 2015 |
| Included classes: | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 |
| Speed range: | 0-200 km/h. |
| Direction: | North, South (bound) |
| Separation: | All - (Headway) |
| Name: | Default Profile |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (AustRoads94) |
| Units: | Metric (meter, kilometer, m/s, km/h, kg, tonne) |
| In profile: | Vehicles $=14720 / 16491$ (89.26\%) |

## Weekly Vehicle Counts (Virtual Week)

## VirtWeeklyVehicle-6262

| Site: | !08.033S.0.0S |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description: | HW1 S MR275 |
| Filter time: | 0:00 Frida, 16 October 2015 => 0:00 Friday, 23 October 2015 |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (AustRoads94) |
| Filter: | Cls(1234567891011 12) Dir(NS) Sp(0,200) Headway $(>0)$ |



*     - No data.


## PLAN OF EXISTING ACCESS WITH SISD SECTIONS

SCALE 1:1000




## EXISTING ACCESS

## SCALE 1:500

Access at present may be used for development stage 1 subject to RMS approval

CONCEPT PLAN FOR AU TYPE UPGRADE SCALE 1:500
This type of intersection may be used from stage 2 to 4 subject to RMS approval

## concept plan for ch intersection design

Southbound lane may require extra batter work
Drainage as required to RMS design and approval
Taper lane 50 m
Paper lane 50 m
Deceleration lane 25 m
Deceleration ane 25 m
Storage length 12.5 m
Sige postio
Stion posting and markings to RMs "Signs and Markings Manual
Pavement desion to RMS sperifications
These lengths are subject to RMS approval

SCALE 1:500
This intersection design may be required from development stage 5, subject to RMS approval

